

**GOVERNMENT OF MEGHALAYA
DIRECTORATE OF SPORTS AND YOUTH AFFAIRS**

S.No. NGS.3/2026/1/159

Date: 24th March 2026

Corrigendum – 02

Open Tender Enquiry for Development, Management and Maintenance of Website, State Sports & Youth Repository and Games Management System (GMS)

1. In reference to RFP floated by Directorate of Sports and Youth Affairs, Government of Meghalaya for Selection of Agency for Development, Management and Maintenance of Website, State Sports & Youth Repository and Games Management System for Department of Sports & Youth Affairs, Meghalaya vide tender reference no. **NGS.3/2026/1** 25th February 2026 **Tender ID 2026_DSYA_1936_1**, please note the following amendments:

S.N.	Clause Number	Existing Clause	Amended Clause
1.	Corrigendum - 01	Last Date of Bid Submission: 6 th April 2026 17:00	Last Date of Bid Submission: 13 th April 2026 17:00
2.	Corrigendum - 01	Opening of Technical Proposal: 7 th April 2026 12 noon	Opening of Technical Proposal: 14 th April 2026 12 noon
3.	1.6.5 (Pre-Qualification Criteria)	Note: Exemption from paying EMD by Micro and small enterprises shall be applicable as per relevant guidelines issued by the Government of India from time to time. Latest UDYAM Registration Certificate issued by Ministry of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises, Government of India must be submitted.	Note: Exemption from paying EMD and Tender Processing Fee by registered Start Ups and Micro and Small enterprises shall be applicable as per relevant guidelines issued by the Government of India from time to time. Latest UDYAM Registration Certificate or DPIIT (Startup India) Registration Certificate , as applicable, issued by Government of India, in the relevant category must be submitted in order to avail relaxation. In case of JV/ Consortium all members of JV/ Consortium need to be a registered Start Up/ MSE in order to avail relaxation.
4.	Part 7: Terms of Reference (ToR)	AI integration in all websites and GMS	In addition to the existing clause in the ToR, AI integration may include the following key functions: AI Chatbot Assistance – Provides instant responses to queries from athletes, officials, media, and spectators regarding schedules, venues, registrations, and results. Automated Data Validation – Detects errors or inconsistencies in athlete registrations, accreditation details, and event entries. Smart Scheduling Support – Assists in generating optimized competition schedules based on venue availability, sport requirements, and time

			<p>constraints.</p> <p>Predictive Analytics & Insights – Analyzes participation data, traffic on the website, and event performance to support decision-making.</p> <p>Automated Content Management – Generates or suggests news updates, match summaries, and social media content from results data.</p> <p>Image & Media Tagging – Automatically categorizes and tags photos/videos in the media repository for easier search and archiving.</p> <p>Fraud or Duplicate Detection – Identifies duplicate registrations or suspicious entries in accreditation and athlete databases.</p> <p>Voice Recognition & Voice Commands – Allows users to interact with the website/GMS using voice to search schedules, check results, or navigate information hands-free.</p> <p>Multilingual Interaction (English and Hindi)</p> <p>Any other related function.</p>
5.	All relevant clauses of the RFP including but not limited to pre-qualification criteria (1.6.5), marking criteria (part 3) etc.	Work order and Work Completion Certificate clearly defining project value, scope of work, tenure of the project and other deliverables. Work Order without work completion certificate will not be considered.	Work order and Work Completion Certificate clearly defining project value, scope of work, tenure of the project and other deliverables. Work Order without work completion certificate will not be considered. In case of ongoing projects, projects wherein at least 80% of the total scope of work has been delivered and at least 80% of the total payment (financial completion) has been disbursed by the client, shall be considered. Such work completion certificate clearly defining the total and disbursed project value/ amount (financial completion) and scope of work, tenure of the project and other deliverables, duly signed and stamped on the official letterhead of the client must be submitted for consideration. Work Order without such work completion certificate will not be considered.

2. Relevant clauses of the **Pre - Qualification criteria** (1.6.5) are amended as below:

S. No.	Existing Criteria	Amended Criteria
4.	The Bidder must have minimum average annual turnover of at least Rs. 5 crores in any three of the last 5 Financial Years (2020-21, 2021-22, 2022-23, 2023-24, 2024-25)	The Bidder must have minimum average annual turnover of at least Rs. 3 crores in any three of the last 5 Financial Years (2020-21, 2021-22, 2022-23, 2023-24, 2024-25)
9.	The Bidder must have successfully executed at least 01 (one) number of similar projects with a project value of at least Rs. 2,00,00,000/- (Rupees Two Crores only)	The Bidder must have successfully executed at least 01 (one) number of similar projects with a project value of at least Rs. 1,00,00,000/- (Rupees One Crore only) Note: Similar Project means development, management and maintenance of

Note: Similar Project means development, management and maintenance of website/portal with mobile application (android and ios) and repository.	website/portal with mobile application (android and ios) and repository.
---	---

3. Relevant clauses of the **Marking Criteria (Part 3)** is amended as below:

SL	Existing Requirement	Amended Requirement	Supporting Docs	Maximum Marks
A	Company Profile			10
1	The Bidder must have minimum average annual turnover of at least Rs. 5 crore in any three of the last five Financial Years (2020-21, 2021-22, 2022-23, 2023-24, 2024-25) a. Rs 5 crores to 6 crores (3 marks) b. More than Rs 6 crores to 7 crores (5 marks) c. More than Rs 7 crores (7 marks)	The Bidder must have minimum average annual turnover of at least Rs. 3 crores in any three of the last five Financial Years (2020-21, 2021-22, 2022-23, 2023-24, 2024-25) a. Rs 3 crores to 4 crores (5 marks) b. More than Rs 4 crores to 5 crores (7 marks) c. More than Rs 5 crores (10 marks)	Certificate from Chartered Accountant	10

4. The following queries have been received by this office. The response to the said queries is as below:

S.N.	Page No.	Section/ Clause No.	RFP Text	Query/Clarification	Response from DSYA
1.	Part – 2: Instructions to the Bidders	1.6.5. Pre-Qualification Criteria, Page 11, S.No.2	The supplier must be incorporated and registered in India, under the Indian Companies Act / Partnership Act /LLP Act or any other relevant law of India. In case of JV/ Consortium, all the member firms must be a registered company or firm in India registered under the relevant provisions/acts in India	It is requested to kindly amend the clause to permit participation through a Joint Venture/Consortium wherein the Lead Bidder is an entity incorporated and registered in India under the applicable laws of India, while allowing technically qualified international entities as consortium members. Such international partners may include firms of high repute with demonstrated experience in execution of large-scale multisport events such as the Commonwealth Games, Olympic Games, Asian Games or similar global events. The Indian Lead Bidder shall remain fully responsible for contractual	At the discretion of the prospective bidder. Tender clauses related to registration in India prevails.

S.N.	Page No.	Section/ Clause No.	RFP Text	Query/Clarification	Response from DSYA
				obligations, statutory compliances, and execution of the project under Indian jurisdiction. Allowing such collaboration will enable knowledge transfer of global best practices, enhance technical capability, and strengthen the State's preparedness to host larger national and international sporting events in a structured and efficient manner	
2.	Part – 2: Instructions to the Bidders	1.6.5. Pre-Qualification Criteria, Page 12, S.No.9	The Bidder must have successfully executed at least 01 (one) number of similar projects with a project value of at least Rs. 2,00,00,000/- (Rupees Two Crores only) Note: Similar Project means development, management and maintenance of website/portal with mobile application (android and ios) and repository.	It is requested to amend Pre-Qualification Criteria Point 9 (definition of "Similar Project") to better align eligibility with the actual project scope, which requires not only development and maintenance of DSYA and National Games digital platforms and repository, but also deployment-readiness, operationalisation and system integrations (including competition technology integration within the GMS) across a live multi-sport environment. The current definition restricts "Similar Project" only to website/portal + mobile apps + repository of a minimum ₹2 Cr value, which may exclude agencies with proven capability in delivering and operating event- technology solutions in live multi-venue sporting contexts and capabilities that directly enhance Meghalaya's readiness, reduce operational risk during National Games, and improve integration execution. Accordingly, it is proposed that Point 9 be revised as: The Bidder must have successfully delivered at least 01 (one) technology solution assignment for a multi-sport, multivenue sporting event (including National/International events), involving deployment and operational support of event technology and/or associated digital platforms and system integrations, with a minimum project value	Refer to clause no. 2 of this corrigendum.

S.N.	Page No.	Section/ Clause No.	RFP Text	Query/Clarification	Response from DSYA
				<p>of INR 1 Crore, supported by relevant work order/contract and completion/deployment certificate.</p> <p>This change enables merit-based participation by agencies with demonstrable live event deployment experience relevant to the RFP's end-to-end objective of a comprehensive digital sports ecosystem for Meghalaya</p>	
3.	Part – 2: Instructions to the Bidders	1.6.5. Pre-Qualification Criteria, Page 12, S.No.10	<p>The Bidder must have successfully executed at least 01 (one) number of project in Development, management and maintenance of Games Management System (GMS) with a project value of at least Rs. 45,00,000/- (Rupees forty-five lakhs only) for any Central/State government/ PSU sports body or National Sports Federation (NSF) or private sports bodies of repute Note:</p> <p>Private sports bodies of repute, for the purpose of this RFP, shall mean organizations recognized for effectively regulating, or organizing sports activities at national or international levels.</p>	<p>It is requested to amend Pre-Qualification Criteria – Point 10 (experience in execution of at least one GMS project of minimum value ₹45,00,000 for Govt/PSU/NSF/reputed private sports bodies) to better align the eligibility with the RFP's functional scope, which is explicitly positioned as an end-to-end digital sports ecosystem covering DSYA website, National Games website/mobile apps, repository, and a Games Management System with competition management, accreditation, analytics, and live event integrations, along with long-term operation & maintenance. The current wording narrowly restricts eligibility to bidders having executed a “GMS” project, whereas the successful delivery of this RFP also depends on proven capability as an event technology / data processing partner in national/international sporting events, including real-time operations, data workflows, and integration readiness that directly support fixtures/results/medal tallies and stakeholder operations during the Games. Accordingly, it is proposed that Point 10 be revised as: “The Bidder must have successfully delivered at least 01 (one) technology solution assignment as a data processing partner for any National/International sporting event with a minimum project value of INR</p>	Tender clauses prevail.

S.N.	Page No.	Section/ Clause No.	RFP Text	Query/Clarification	Response from DSYA
				1 Crore, supported by relevant work order/contract an completion/deployment certificate.” This change will broaden participation to agencies with demonstrable live-event execution capability, improving Meghalaya’s operational readiness and reducing delivery risk for National Games execution within the RFP’s stated scope.	
4.	Part – 2: Instructions to the Bidders	1.6.6. Core Team, Page 13	The Core Team shall consist of the following key personnel: 1. Technical Expert cum Team Lead 2. Junior IT Consultant 3. Data Analyst 4. Support Consultant 5. Project Coordinator	It is requested to revise Clause 1.6.6 (Core Team) to align the onsite staffing mix and tenure with the RFP’s own delivery plan and operational requirements. The current Core Team composition is predominantly technical and mandated for full-time deployment in Meghalaya for 2 years, although the RFP timelines indicate that major development and deployment activities conclude within the first 120 days, after which the engagement becomes largely operational (data entry, helpdesk, support, integrations, and field coordination). Further, the RFP also indicates that no additional funds/scope augmentation will be 1.6.8 to 1.6.10), therefore the staffing model must be functional and operations-led to ensure successful execution across the contract period. Additionally, mapping data entry responsibilities to “Senior/Junior IT Consultants” is not aligned to standard role definitions and results in an inefficient and cost-heavy team structure. Accordingly, it is requested that Table 1.6.6 be replaced with an operations-centric onsite deployment plan that varies by project phase (development vs operational phase), and we will be submitting an attached Excel (“Fixed Onsite Team” deployment plan) along with this clarification for the department’s reference while finalizing the revised Core Team table.	Tender clauses prevail.
5.	Part –	1.35.2. The scoring	The Bidder must have minimum	It is requested to modify the scoring slabs under	Refer to clause nos. 2 and 3 of

S.N.	Page No.	Section/ Clause No.	RFP Text	Query/Clarification	Response from DSYA
	3: Criteria for Evaluation	criteria to be used for evaluation shall be as follows, Page 31, SL A.1 Company Profile	average annual turnover of at least Rs. 5 crore in any three of the last five Financial Years (2020-21, 2021-22, 2022-23, 2023-24, 2024-25) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Rs 5 crores to 6 crores (3 marks) More than Rs 6 crores to 7 crores (5 marks) More than Rs 7 crores (7 marks) 	Clause 1.35.2 (Page 31, Sl. A.1 – Average Annual Turnover) to reduce unnecessary fragmentation and ensure that bidders meeting the minimum eligibility threshold are not disproportionately penalized in technical scoring. While the minimum qualifying turnover requirement of ₹5 crore in any 3 of the last 5 FYs (2020-21 to 2024-25) may remain unchanged, the marks distribution may be revised to the following simplified structure: (a) ₹5 crore to ₹6 crore – 5 Marks; (b) More than ₹6 crore – 7 Marks. This rationalizes evaluation by awarding meaningful weight once the minimum financial capacity is established and avoids over-indexing technical scores on marginal turnover differences.	this Corrigendum.
6.	Part – 3: Criteria for Evaluation	1.35.2. The scoring criteria to be used for evaluation shall be as follows, Page 31, SL C Qualification of Resources.		It is requested to revise Clause 1.35.2 (Page 33, Sl. C – Qualification of Resources) so that the resource evaluation focuses on core delivery capability and project governance, and does not inadvertently treat temporary operational support roles as permanent technical resources. In line with the phased execution approach (development and deployment completed early in the project lifecycle, followed by operational support), the resource qualification evaluation may be restricted to the key delivery and governance profiles that directly determine solution quality, timelines, and integration readiness, namely: 1. Backend Developer (02), 2. Frontend Developer (02),	Tender clauses prevail.

S.N.	Page No.	Section/ Clause No.	RFP Text	Query/Clarification	Response from DSYA
				<p>3. QA Engineer (01),</p> <p>4. App Developer (01),</p> <p>5. Project Manager (01),</p> <p>and</p> <p>6. Project Coordinators (02).</p> <p>These profiles represent the essential competencies for building, testing, integrating, and governing the Website/Repository/GMS solution and are therefore appropriate for scoring under “Qualification of Resources”. Any phase-specific operational support manpower proposed for peak onboarding/data-entry activities will be referenced as Project Associates and may be assessed separately on operational suitability if required, but should not be included in the core technical resource qualification scoring since their deployment is temporary and event-window driven (not determinative of system design, development, or integration capability).</p>	
7.	Part 7: Terms of Reference (TOR)	1.48.6. Payment Schedule, Page 51, 1.48.6.1	Payments would be made in equal quarterly instalments per year over the period of contract	It is requested to amend Clause 1.48.6.1 (Payment Schedule, Page 51) which currently provides for payments in equal quarterly instalments over the contract period. Considering the nature of this assignment as a product + operational delivery project, where the major product build and deployment is completed within the first 120 days (as per the RFP deliverable timeline), followed by National Games operational deployment and ongoing O&M up to 24 months culminating in final DPR submission and handover, it is proposed that	Tender clauses prevail.

S.N.	Page No.	Section/ Clause No.	RFP Text	Query/Clarification	Response from DSYA
				<p>payments be made on a milestone based model aligned to measurable deliverables and acceptance. Accordingly, the following milestone payment structure is proposed:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Manpower Deployment & FRS Approval – 10% (supported by FRS acceptance); 2. Content & Design Approval – 15% (supported by DSYA approval certificate); Deployment – 20% (supported by GoLive/Deployment Completion Certificate including UAT sign-off and deployment checklist); 3. National Games Deployment – 20% (supported by National Games Operational Readiness/Deployment Certificate including mock run/cutover readiness sign-off and integration verification where applicable); 4. O&M – 25% (to be paid in equal quarterly instalments post deployment, i.e., after T+120, till contract completion based on quarterly O&M performance and SLA reports); and 5. Knowledge Transfer – 10% (supported by DPR submission and handover/knowledge transfer acceptance). <p>This milestone-based approach ensures transparent linkage of payments to objective deliverables and supports successful execution without altering the RFP timelines or scope.</p>	
8.	Part – 3: Criteria for	1.35, 1.35.2. The scoring criteria to be used for	Evaluation Criteria Table	It is requested to update Clause 1.35.2(B) – Project Experience to adopt a clearer, capability-based	Tender clauses prevail.

S.N.	Page No.	Section/ Clause No.	RFP Text	Query/Clarification	Response from DSYA
	Evaluation	evaluation shall be as follows: Evaluation of Technical Proposals		<p>scoring approach aligned to the RFP's actual implementation and operations scope.</p> <p>The current structure largely links marks to contract values and narrow project definitions, whereas successful delivery of this assignment depends on demonstrated capability in GMS/event system deployment and operations for multisport, multi-venue contexts, delivery of digital platforms (website/portal, repository/data platform, mobile apps), and system integration readiness required for live operations. Accordingly, section wise refinements are proposed under Clause 1.35.2(B) to:</p> <p>(i) recognise verified National/International multi-sport deployment experience under a single scoring head, (ii) separately assess delivery of the required digital platform components,</p> <p>(iii) evaluate integration capability through proven integrated deployments, and (iv) assign marks for ISO certifications to strengthen assurance on quality and information security practices.</p> <p>These changes are intended to improve evaluation clarity, enable merit-based comparison of bidders on relevant execution capability, and reduce implementation risk for the department. Since the detailed scoring table and marking logic cannot be fully represented within the standard pre-bid query sheet cell space, the complete proposed revised table for Clause 1.35.2(B) is being submitted as an attached annexure for</p>	

S.N.	Page No.	Section/ Clause No.	RFP Text	Query/Clarification	Response from DSYA
				DSYA's review	
9.	Part – 2	Clause 1.6.5, Sr. No. 10, Page 12	<p>The Bidder must have successfully executed at least 01 (one) number of project in Development, management and maintenance of Games Management System (GMS) with a project value of at least Rs. 45,00,000/- (Rupees forty-five lakhs only) for any Central/State government/ PSU sports body National Sports Federation (NSF) or private sports bodies of repute</p> <p>Note: Private sports bodies of repute, for the purpose of this RFP, shall mean organizations recognized for effectively promoting, regulating, or organizing sports activities at national or international levels.</p>	<p>The RFP requires experience in Development, Management and Maintenance of a Games Management System (GMS) for sports bodies. Kindly clarify if equivalent experience in development, implementation, and maintenance of ERP/CRM or similar enterprise management systems executed for Central/State Government departments or PSUs may also be considered as eligible experience.</p>	<p>GMS is sports centric and requires subject matter expertise. Hence, experience in developing, managing and maintaining GMS is required.</p>
10.				<p>The RFP indicates that both the State Sports Repository and the Games Management System will maintain athlete and stakeholder data.</p> <p>Kindly clarify which module will function as the primary master data authority for athlete and stakeholder records, and how synchronization between systems is expected to be handled.</p>	<p>Both are two completely different requirements. SSR shall function as an all-encompassing repository for DSYA in general whereas GMS is required only for the upcoming National Games.</p>
11.				<p>The RFP refers to integration of Artificial Intelligence within the system architecture. Kindly</p>	<p>Refer to Clause no. 1 of this corrigendum.</p>

S.N.	Page No.	Section/ Clause No.	RFP Text	Query/Clarification	Response from DSYA
				clarify the intended scope of such AI functionality.	
12.				Kindly clarify whether the proposed solution should follow: a centralized integrated platform architecture, or a modular architecture where the Website, Repository, and Games Management System interact through API	Separate independent components that interact through APIs
13.				Kindly clarify whether bidders may participate through consortium or technology partnership arrangements, wherein the lead bidder is an Indian entity, and the consortium includes a technology partner possessing experience in development or deployment of large-scale sports technology platforms, digital repositories, or event management systems.	At the discretion of the prospective bidder. Tender clauses related to registration in India prevails.
14.				Further clarify whether the technical experience of such consortium or technology partners will be considered for evaluation, subject to compliance with the Public Procurement (Preference to Make in India) Order, 2017 and applicable local content requirements	Refer to conditions of consortium and other relevant clauses of the tender and subsequent intimations.
15.				Kindly clarify whether bidders are required to demonstrate prior experience in development or deployment of integrated sports technology platforms or Games Management Systems, and whether such experience may be demonstrated through technology partners or consortium members.	Refer to conditions of consortium and other relevant clauses of the tender and subsequent intimations.
16.	2	1.6.5 pre-	The Bidder must have minimum	In reference to Clause 1.6.5, Point 4, we	Refer to clause no. 1 of this

S.N.	Page No.	Section/ Clause No.	RFP Text	Query/Clarification	Response from DSYA
		Qualification Criteria, Point 4, page no 12	average annual turnover of at least Rs. 5 crore in any three of the last 5 Financial Years (202021, 2021-22, 2022-23, 2023-24, 2024-25)	<p>respectfully seek clarification regarding applicability of exemptions/relaxations for Micro and Small Enterprises (MSMEs).</p> <p>As per the Public Procurement Policy for Micro and Small Enterprises (MSEs) Order, 2012 (as amended from time to time) issued by the Ministry of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises, Government of India, procuring entities are encouraged to provide relaxations to eligible MSEs in public procurement, subject to meeting quality and technical specifications.</p> <p>In this regard, we request the Authority to kindly clarify:</p> <p>Whether Udyam-registered MSMEs shall be granted relaxation/exemption from the prior turnover criteria under Clause 1.6.5; and</p> <p>Whether the tender conditions may be aligned with the provisions of the MSE Procurement Policy to enable participation of eligible MSMEs.</p> <p>Justification:</p> <p>Clarification on MSME applicability will ensure alignment with Government of India procurement policy objectives, promote wider participation, and maintain transparency in eligibility interpretation. Request suitable clarification in this regard. Incase of a JV do we expect both the agencies to have</p>	corrigendum.

S.N.	Page No.	Section/ Clause No.	RFP Text	Query/Clarification	Response from DSYA
				MSME. In case one of the partners is not registered under the sections would the exemption be acceptable	
17.	3	1.35 Evaluation of Technical Proposal, 1.35.2, Page no 31	Clause 1.35.1 states that Technical Proposals shall be evaluated out of a total of 100 marks, and bidders scoring 70 marks or more shall qualify for further consideration.	The cumulative marks allocated across all evaluation parameters appear to total 97 marks instead of 100 marks. It is respectfully submitted that there appears to be an arithmetic inconsistency between the total technical score mentioned (100 marks) and the aggregate of marks allocated under Clause 1.35.2 (97 marks as per calculation). Review and confirm the correct breakup/allocation so that the total technical marks aggregate to 100, ensuring transparency, clarity, and uniform interpretation by all bidders.	Refer to clause no. 2 of this corrigendum.
18.	3	1.35.2 Sub Clause A .1, page no 31	The Bidder must have minimum average annual turnover of at least Rs. 5 crore in any three of the last five Financial Years (2020-21, 2021-22, 2022-23, 2023-24, 2024-25) a. Rs 5 crores to 6 crores (3 marks) b. More than Rs 6 crores to 7 crores (5 marks) c. More than Rs 7 crores (7 marks)	It is respectfully submitted that annual turnover may not necessarily reflect the bidder's technical capability or execution experience in delivering complex digital platforms such as Games Management Systems (GMS) and Sports Repository platforms. In view of this, it is suggested that the marking criteria may be reviewed and aligned with the value of relevant projects executed, particularly relating to development and implementation of Game Management Systems, Sports Data Repository Evaluation based on the value of completed	Refer to relevant clauses of the tender and subsequent intimations.

S.N.	Page No.	Section/ Clause No.	RFP Text	Query/Clarification	Response from DSYA
				<p>projects supported by Work Orders/Completion Certificates would provide a more accurate assessment of the bidder's execution capability and domain experience.</p> <p>Suggested Marking Structure:</p> <p>Marks may be allocated based on the value of relevant projects executed during the financial years 2020—21, 2021-22, 2022-23, 2023-24, and 2024-25, as follows:</p> <p>a) Project Value Crore to Crore — 3 Marks</p> <p>b) Project Value More than Crore up to Crore — 5 Marks</p> <p>c) Project Value More than 7 Crore up to R8 Crore — 7 Marks</p> <p>C) Project Value More than Crore — 10 Marks</p> <p>The Authority may kindly consider reviewing the current turnover-based marking criteria and evaluate the feasibility of adopting project valuebased evaluation for relevant domain experience.</p> <p>We request suitable consideration and clarification in this regard. It may be noted that this creterion in its current form dilutes the assessment capability of the bidder for the specific project which required experience of developing such a system as such a system is dependent on the protocol setup by IOA and involvement of its stake holders like national federations and State Olympic federations. For example, in NG38 at Uttarakhand the registration</p>	

S.N.	Page No.	Section/ Clause No.	RFP Text	Query/Clarification	Response from DSYA
				process was changed midway. This ensures that the Technology partner essentially has to have prior knowledge of how the process works and should have robust system to accommodate these changes as they impact the complete mapping of Database and permissions structure.	
19.	7	1.47.2, Part Establishment, Operation & maintenance of National Games website and mobile application, Page no 43	with secure login credentials for sports persons, administrators, and other authorized users to access and manage the system.	<p>It is respectfully submitted that for the effective, transparent and technically compliant execution of the National Games, integration of structured governance and approval mechanisms within the GMS is not merely beneficial but operationally critical.</p> <p>Some of the modules mentioned below have not been specified.</p> <p>Based on our prior experience as the implementing agency for the 37th and 38th National Games, it has been observed that absence of an integrated GTCC (Games Technical Conduct Committee) Module and Quota Management Module can lead to manual dependencies, coordination gaps, approval delays, and audit complexities.</p> <p>Accordingly, we strongly recommend inclusion of the following modules within the core scope of the National Games Website and GMS:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. GTCC Module (Audit Compliance Module for purpose of approvals, in compliance with Host 	Please Refer to the ToR and roles and responsibilities of the Team members of the successful agency.

S.N.	Page No.	Section/ Clause No.	RFP Text	Query/Clarification	Response from DSYA
				<p>City agreement)</p> <p>2. Quota Management Module (Heart of registration system, Agile system)</p> <p>Given the scale, regulatory oversight, and technical complexity of the National Games, these modules form a core execution backbone rather than optional enhancements.</p> <p>Inclusion at the system design stage is essential to ensure seamless architecture, avoid post-development structural modifications, and maintain administrative control throughout the Games lifecycle from athlete registration to technical approval, quota validation, and participation management.</p> <p>Non-inclusion of these modules at the outset may result in fragmented processes, increased manual oversight, and operational inefficiencies during critical execution phases.</p> <p>We request suitable clarification and necessary inclusion in the Scope of Work.</p>	
20.	7	1.47.2 Page no 43		<p>It is respectfully submitted that Volunteer Management constitutes a core operational pillar for the execution of a multi-sport, multi-venue event of the scale of the National Games. Absence of a structured digital Volunteer Management System may lead to fragmented coordination, manual dependency, deployment inefficiencies, and reconciliation challenges during peak</p>	<p>Please refer to the ToR and roles and responsibilities of the successful agency.</p>

S.N.	Page No.	Section/ Clause No.	RFP Text	Query/Clarification	Response from DSYA
				<p>operational phases.</p> <p>Accordingly, it is strongly recommended that a comprehensive Volunteer Management Module be included within the GMS scope, covering, at minimum:</p> <p>Centralized Online Volunteer Registration and Profile Management</p> <p>Segregation and structured management of General Volunteers (logistics, hospitality, protocol, operations, etc.) and Sports-Specific/Technical Volunteers (discipline-wise FOP support, technical assistants, sport operations staff, etc.)</p> <p>Also an scope for registration of spectator for Opening and closing ceremony .</p> <p>Given the scale of volunteer engagement anticipated for the National Games, structured digital management is critical for accountability, transparency, financial reconciliation, and smooth event execution. Inclusion at the system design stage will ensure seamless integration with accreditation, attendance, stipend management, and certificate issuance workflows.</p> <p>We request suitable clarification and necessary inclusion in the Scope of Work.</p>	
21.	7	1.47.2 Page no 43		<p>Upon detailed review of Clause 1.47.2 and the overall Scope of Work, it is observed that an integrated ACT Module (Accommodation, Catering & Transport Management) has not been included</p>	<p>Please refer to the ToR and roles and responsibilities of the successful agency.</p>

S.N.	Page No.	Section/ Clause No.	RFP Text	Query/Clarification	Response from DSYA
				<p>within the GMS framework.</p> <p>As the information of Accommodation, Travel & catering has to be shared with athletes and team managers to ensure planning for matches and practice schedules of athletes to ensure efficient management</p> <p>Submission:</p> <p>It is respectfully submitted that ACT management is a mission-critical operational component for the execution of the National Games. Effective onboarding and management of athletes and other stakeholders is directly dependent on structured handling of accommodation, catering, and transport logistics. Any fragmentation or manual dependency in these areas may significantly impact participant experience, coordination efficiency, and event execution timelines.</p> <p>Accordingly, inclusion of a comprehensive ACT Module, fully integrated with the GMS and centralized stakeholder database, is essential. The module should cover, at minimum, the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Accommodation Management 2. Catering Management 3. Transport Management <p>Justification:</p>	

S.N.	Page No.	Section/ Clause No.	RFP Text	Query/Clarification	Response from DSYA
				<p>ACT operations directly influence athlete experience, operational discipline, and inter-departmental coordination.</p> <p>Structured digital integration will enable</p> <p>Given the scale and sensitivity of athlete movement and stay arrangements, inclusion of the ACT Module at the system architecture stage is operationally critical and cannot be treated as a peripheral enhancement.</p> <p>Please provide the clarification on the same.</p>	
22.	7	1.47.6 page no 49	Integration of Artificial Intelligence on the website.	<p>It is respectfully submitted that while the RFP mentions integration of AI on the website, clarity is required regarding the exact features and functional expectations of the Authority.</p> <p>Based on our prior experience in implementing digital platforms for previous editions of the National Games, AI-based solutions such as:</p> <p>AI-powered Chatbot for real-time query resolution</p> <p>Automated FAQ assistance Stakeholder support interface Intelligent search and content recommendation Basic analytics-driven user interaction tracking have been successfully deployed to enhance user engagement and operational efficiency. In view of the above, we kindly request the Authority to clarify:</p> <p>Whether a specific AI-based feature (e.g., chatbot,</p>	Refer to clause no. 1 of the corrigendum.

S.N.	Page No.	Section/ Clause No.	RFP Text	Query/Clarification	Response from DSYA
				<p>analytics, automated scheduling assistance, multilingual interaction, etc.) is envisaged under this clause;</p> <p>Whether AI integration is intended to be limited to user interaction support, or to extend to analytics, reporting, or operational modules within the GMS; and If detailed functional requirements may be specified to enable accurate technical architecture planning and cost estimation.</p> <p>Justification:</p> <p>Clear definition of AI scope at the RFP stage will enable proper system architecture design, infrastructure provisioning, security planning, and realistic effort estimation. This will ensure alignment of bidder proposals with the Authority's expectations and prevent ambiguity during implementation.</p> <p>We request suitable clarification in this regard.</p>	
23.	7	1.47.7 page no 50	Digital Certificate generation and download facility, post National Games.	<p>Observation:</p> <p>While provision for digital certificate generation has been included, integration of such certificates with DigiLocker, as per Government of India digital governance initiatives, has not been explicitly mentioned in the Scope of Services. As multiple approvals are required to integrate with DigiLocker and integration of aadhar for validations also required multiple approvals via Meity and dept.</p>	At the discretion of DSYA. Tender clauses prevail.

S.N.	Page No.	Section/ Clause No.	RFP Text	Query/Clarification	Response from DSYA
				<p>Query / Request for Inclusion:</p> <p>It is respectfully submitted that, in line with Government of India's Digital Governance framework and e-document standards, digital certificates issued post National Games should ideally be integrated with the DigiLocker platform to ensure authenticity, long-term preservation, and ease of access by stakeholders.</p> <p>Request:</p> <p>We respectfully request the Authority to:</p> <p>Clarify whether DigiLocker integration is envisaged under the existing scope; OR</p> <p>Consider issuing a corrigendum to explicitly include DigiLocker integration for digital certificate issuance within Clause 1.47.7 under the Scope of Services.</p> <p>Justification;</p> <p>Inclusion of DigiLocker integration at the design stage will ensure compliance with national digital initiatives, prevent future system modifications, and provide stakeholders with Government recognized, permanently accessible digital certificates.</p> <p>We request suitable clarification and consideration in this regard.</p>	
24.	7	1.47.2 Page no 43		Upon review of the Scope of Work under Clause	Tender clauses prevail.

S.N.	Page No.	Section/ Clause No.	RFP Text	Query/Clarification	Response from DSYA
				<p>1.47.2, while attendance and stakeholder management functionalities may be broadly envisaged, there is no explicit provision for Geo-Fencing based Attendance Validation Mechanism within the GMS framework.</p> <p>Submission It is respectfully submitted that Geo Fencing enabled attendance management is a critical operational and compliance tool for large-scale sporting events such as the National Games.</p> <p>Given the scale of deployment of for National Games more than 7000 personal are involved on contract basis through various tenders and are required to be paid for which later on audit compliances require proof of attendance and this also becomes a basis for cross checking of deployment carried out by various vendors i.e EMA, ACT, KP. This is a cross verifying system of claims submitted by vendors, we in our experience have seen that validation becomes a pain point where later audit compliances become an issue as their is no justification. With a system like this it enables seamless validation. But also these systems are to put a check on the on boarded partners, in case a GMS partner is on boarded same Should not be allowed to bid for other assignments due to CONFLICT OF INTEREST CLAUSE.</p> <p>Further, as per operational understanding, validation of volunteer and support staff onboarding and attendance has historically been an audit-sensitive area, particularly where payments or stipends are linked to deployment under Host City</p>	

S.N.	Page No.	Section/ Clause No.	RFP Text	Query/Clarification	Response from DSYA
				<p>Agreements.</p> <p>Given the financial, operational, and compliance implications associated with attendance-linked payments, inclusion of Geo-Fencing capability at the system architecture stage is strongly recommended to ensure execution integrity, financial transparency, and audit defensibility during and post the 39th National Games.</p>	
25.	7	1.472, pg no 45		<p>Upon review of the Scope of Work relating to development of the National Games Website & Mobile Application and the Sports & Youth Repository platform, the RFP broadly indicates development of these platforms; however, the detailed list of functional features and user workflows expected under each platform have not been explicitly specified.</p> <p>Query / Clarification Sought:</p> <p>For the purpose of accurate system architecture planning, integration design & development effort estimation, as this significantly impacts the cost of the project & it is requested that the Authority kindly provide clarity on the detailed functional features expected for the following components:</p> <p>A. National Games Website & Mobile Application</p> <p>Modules relating to athlete registration, event information, schedules, results, accreditation, volunteer management, stakeholder dashboards, notifications, and other operational components</p>	<p>Such requirements by default constitute a functional all-encompassing Website/Repository. Please refer to the ToR, FRS and roles and responsibilities of the successful agency.</p>

S.N.	Page No.	Section/ Clause No.	RFP Text	Query/Clarification	Response from DSYA
				<p>required during the Games.</p> <p>B. Sports & Youth Repository Platform</p> <p>Functionalities relating to sports infrastructure database, athlete and youth data repository, sports ecosystem analytics, public access features, and integration with departmental programs or legacy systems.</p> <p>Request:</p> <p>In view of the above, we respectfully request the Authority to:</p> <p>Provide a detailed list of functional modules and features expected under both platforms, including user roles and workflows;</p> <p>Clear specification of functional requirements will enable to design an appropriate system architecture, plan integrations effectively, and provide accurate technical and financial proposals aligned with the Authority's expectations.</p> <p>We request suitable clarification in this regard.</p>	
26.	3	1.35 pg no 31		<p>As per Clause 1.35, bidders are required to submit credentials of completed projects for the purpose of qualification and technical evaluation. However, the provision does not clearly specify whether ongoing projects may be considered where substantial implementation has already</p>	<p>Please refer to clause no. 1 of this corrigendum</p>

S.N.	Page No.	Section/ Clause No.	RFP Text	Query/Clarification	Response from DSYA
				<p>been completed.</p> <p>Submission / Query:</p> <p>It is respectfully submitted that projects of similar scale and complexity, particularly involving large digital platforms such as Games Management Systems, Sports Data Platforms, typically have deployment timelines exceeding 12—18 months. In certain cases these projects extend beyond 5 years and since sports domain is dynamic and evolving continuously with multisport involvement, these projects are continuous hence please consider ongoing projects on annuity model to be submitted as part of experience, every year to be considered as an individual project which is completed and delivered. These projects are very much in line with the solution required by Directorate of Sports and Youth Affairs, Meghalaya.</p> <p>In many cases, substantial modules of such projects are operational and validated by the client authority even though the overall project duration continues.</p> <p>In view of this, it is requested that the Authority may kindly clarify whether ongoing projects may be considered for qualification or technical evaluation, subject to the following conditions:</p> <p>The project has completed at least 12 months (one year) of execution; and</p> <p>The bidder is able to provide client certification or official documentation confirming completion and</p>	

S.N.	Page No.	Section/ Clause No.	RFP Text	Query/Clarification	Response from DSYA
				<p>operational deployment of key modules.</p> <p>Allowing consideration of such ongoing projects will more accurately reflect the bidder's current execution capability and experience in handling large-scale digital deployments, particularly where significant components have already been implemented and validated by the client.</p> <p>Request:</p> <p>The Authority may kindly clarify whether such ongoing projects meeting the above conditions may be considered for the purpose of qualification and/or technical evaluation under Clause 1.35.</p> <p>We request Suitable clarification in this regard.</p>	
27.	1	1.5, page no 8	JV/ Consortium allowed	<p>Clause 1.5 indicates that Joint Venture (JV) / Consortium participation is allowed. However, the clause does not clearly specify the eligibility requirements regarding the nature of business or domain specialization of the consortium partner(s).</p> <p>Query / Clarification Sought:</p> <p>In this regard, it is requested that the Authority kindly clarify whether the Consortium / JV Partner is required to be:</p> <p>A registered IT / Software Development company with experience in development of digital platforms, OR</p>	<p>At the discretion of the prospective bidder.</p> <p>Refer to Conditions of Consortium, Joint Bidding Agreement and other relevant clauses of the tender.</p>

S.N.	Page No.	Section/ Clause No.	RFP Text	Query/Clarification	Response from DSYA
				<p>Any organization. How will conflict of interest be addressed in this section as some of the organisations with EMA or ACT might bid for the project and they possibly represent conflict of interest as the IT solution monitors their performance and that could impact their payments, i.e no of security personals onboarded could be misrepresented in numbers for financial claims during billing as the operations are spread across multiple locations, no of sports consultants (venue manager, accrued managers, SLO, FA heads) could have the same misrepresentation. And a solution like geo fenced attendance with facial recognition validates the payments claim which was used during 37th National Games in GOA.</p> <p>Further clarification may also be provided on whether the technical and financial credentials of consortium partners can be combined for meeting the eligibility and evaluation criteria under the RFP.</p> <p>Request:</p> <p>The Authority may kindly clarify the permissible nature of business and eligibility conditions for consortium / JV partners to ensure that bidders structure their consortium arrangements in compliance with the RFP requirements.</p> <p>We request suitable clarification in this regard.</p>	
28.	Part - 2: Instructions to the Bidders	1.6.5. Pre-qualification Criteria, Page 11, S.No.2	The supplier must be incorporated and registered in India, under the Indian Companies Act / Partnership Act	Request modification to allow a JV/Consortium with an India-incorporated Lead Bidder, and international consortium members permitted for technical support/credentials. The Indian lead	Tender Clauses Prevail.

S.N.	Page No.	Section/ Clause No.	RFP Text	Query/Clarification	Response from DSYA
			/LLP Act or any other relevant law of India. In case of JV/ Consortium, all the member firms must be a registered company or firm in India registered under the relevant provisions/acts in India	will remain solely responsible for compliance, execution, and contractual obligations in India.	
29.	Part – 2: Instructions to the Bidders	1.6.5. Pre-qualification Criteria, Page 12, S.No.9	The Bidder must have successfully executed at least 01 (one) number of similar projects with a project value of at least Rs. 2,00,00,000/- (Rupees Two Crores only) Note: Similar Project means development, management and maintenance of website/portal with mobile application (android and ios) and repository.	Request redefining “Similar Project” to reflect the RFP’s integrated delivery and operations scope, including implementation readiness and integration execution in a multi-venue sports environment. Proposed eligibility may recognize at least one technology solution assignment for a multi-sport, multi-venue National/International event with documented deployment/operations support and integrations, supported by work order and completion/deployment certificate.	Tender Clauses prevail.
30.	Part – 2: Instructions to the Bidders	1.6.5. Pre-qualification Criteria, Page 12, S.No.10	The Bidder must have successfully executed at least 01 (one) number of project in Development, management and maintenance of Games Management System (GMS) with a project value of at least Rs. 45,00,000/- (Rupees forty-five lakhs only) for any Central/State government/ PSU sports body or National Sports Federation (NSF) or private sports bodies of repute Note: Private sports bodies of repute, for the purpose of this RFP, shall mean organizations recognized for effectively promoting, regulating, or organizing sports activities at national or international levels.	Request aligning Point 10 to allow equivalent proven experience as an event technology / data processing service partner for National/International sports events, supported by documentary evidence, instead of limiting eligibility only to prior “GMS executed” references.	Tender Clauses prevail.

S.N.	Page No.	Section/ Clause No.	RFP Text	Query/Clarification	Response from DSYA
31.	Part - 2: Instructions to the Bidders	1.6.6. Core Team, Page 13	The Core Team shall consist of the following key personnel: 1. Technical Expert cum Team Lead 2. Junior IT Consultant 3. Data Analyst 4. Support Consultant 5. Project Coordinator	Request revisiting the onsite staffing requirement to a phase-based deployment model. The RFP delivery plan is heavily front-loaded and the later period is dominated by field operations, support coordination, and event readiness. A phase-linked onsite plan is more practical and ensures execution without inflating unnecessary long-duration specialist deployment.	Tender Clauses prevail.
32.	Part - 3: Criteria for Evaluation	1.35.2. The scoring criteria to be used for evaluation shall be as follows, Page 31, SL A.1 Company Profile	The Bidder must have minimum average annual turnover of at least Rs. 5 crore in any three of the last five Financial Years (2020-21, 2021-22, 2022-23, 2023-24, 2024-25) a. Rs 5 crores to 6 crores (3 marks) b. More than Rs 6 crores to 7 crores (5 marks) c. More than Rs 7 crores (7 marks)	Proposed scoring: $\leq 5-6$ Cr = 5 marks; >6 Cr = 7 marks (minimum eligibility unchanged).	Refer to clause nos. 2 and 3 of this Corrigendum.
33.	Part - 3: Criteria for Evaluation	1.35.2. The scoring criteria to be used for evaluation shall be as follows, Page 31, SL C Qualification of Resources.		Recommend evaluating the core delivery and governance roles that materially determine system quality and integration readiness, such as Server-side Engineers, Client-side Engineers, Mobile Application Engineer(s), Quality Assurance Lead/Engineer, Project Manager, and Project Coordinators, rather than tying evaluation to temporary peak-period support profiles.	Tender Clauses prevail.
34.	Part 7: Terms of Reference (TOR)	1.48.6. Payment Schedule, Page 51, 1.48.6.1	Payments would be made in equal quarterly instalments per year over the period of contract	Request moving from equal quarterly instalments to acceptance-linked milestone payments, since a significant portion of build and deployment effort is completed early in the schedule and the remainder is operations and maintenance. Milestone-based payments will	Tender Clauses prevail.

S.N.	Page No.	Section/ Clause No.	RFP Text	Query/Clarification	Response from DSYA
				create clearer linkage between deliverables, acceptance, and accountability.	
35.	Part – 2: Instructions to the Bidders	GENERAL: 1.6.5. Pre-Qualification Criteria Pg. No. 11	The supplier must be incorporated and registered in India, under the Indian Companies Act / Partnership Act /LLP Act or any other relevant law of India. In case of JV/ Consortium, all the member firms must be a registered company or firm in India registered under the relevant provisions/acts in India	SHY Enterprises is a sole proprietorship firm. It is requested to kindly consider a proprietorship firm as eligible to apply for this RFP	Proprietorship firms are eligible to apply.
36.	Part – 2: Instructions to the Bidders	GENERAL: 1.6.5. Pre-Qualification Criteria Pg. No. 11	The Bidder must have successfully executed at least 01 (one) number of similar projects with a project value of at least Rs. 2,00,00,000/- (Rupees Two Crores only) Note: Similar Project means development, management and maintenance of website/portal with mobile application (android and ios) and repository.	Minimum project value of successfully executed at least 1 of similar projects may kindly be reconsidered and reduced from Rs. 2 Crore to Rs. 70 Lakhs	Refer to clause no. 2 and 3 of this corrigendum.
37.	Part – 3: Criteria for Evaluatio	1.35. Evaluation of Technical Proposals B. Project Experience	A1 The Bidder must have minimum average annual turnover of at least Rs. 5 crore in any three of the last five Financial Years (2020-21, 2021-22, 2022-23, 2023-24, 2024-25) a. Rs 5 crores to 6 crores (3 marks) b. More than Rs 6 crores to 7 crores (5 marks) c. More than Rs 7 crores (7 marks)	Reconsider and reduce the minimum average annual turnover of at least Rs. 3 Crore in any three of the last five FY. a. Rs 3 crores to 4 crores (3 marks) b. More than Rs 4 crores to 5 crores (5 marks) c. More than Rs 5 crores (7 marks)	Refer to clause no. 2 and 3 of this corrigendum.

S.N.	Page No.	Section/ Clause No.	RFP Text	Query/Clarification	Response from DSYA
		Pg. No. 31			
38.			B1.1 Bidder must have prior experience in executing similar projects in last 05 years of minimum contract value of at least Rs 1 crore Development, management and maintenance of website(s) - 1 crore to 1.5 crore - 3 marks More than 1.5 crore - 5 marks	Minimum project contract value requirement for prior experience in executing similar projects during the last 5 years may kindly be reconsidered and reduced from Rs. 1 Crore to Rs. 25 Lakhs 25 Lakhs to 40 Lakhs - 3 marks More than 40 Lakhs - 5 marks	Tender clauses prevail.
39.			B1.2 Development, management and maintenance of Repository 1 crore to 1.5 crore - 3 marks More than 1.5 crore - 5 marks	Change Requested: Minimum project contract value requirement for prior experience in executing similar projects during the last 5 years may kindly be reconsidered and reduced from Rs. 1 Crore to Rs. 25 Lakhs 25 Lakhs to 30 Lakhs - 3 marks More than 30 Lakhs - 5 marks	Tender clauses prevail.
40.	Part – 3: Criteria for Evaluation	1.35. Evaluation of Technical Proposals B. Project Experience	B1.4 Additional 05 marks for executing a similar project for any Central/State Govt/ PSU of minimum contract value of at least Rs 1 crore in last 05 years a. 1 no. of such project – 1 mark b. 2 nos. of such projects- 3 marks	Change Requested: Requested to permit Recognized State Sports Body as State Olympic Association and reduce the minimum contract value of at least Rs. 25 Lakhs in last 5 years.	Tender clauses prevail.

S.N.	Page No.	Section/ Clause No.	RFP Text	Query/Clarification	Response from DSYA
			c. More than 02 such projects - 5 marks		
		Pg. No. 32			
41.	Part – 3: Criteria for Evaluation	1.35. Evaluation of Technical Proposals B. Project Experience Pg. No. 32	B2 Bidder must have prior experience in development, operation and maintenance of Games Management System (GMS) for any Central/State government/ PSU sports body or National Sports Federation (NSF) or private sports bodies of repute in last 05 years.	Change Requested: Request to consider Work Orders issued by any Recognized State Sports Body including State Olympic Associations, as valid credentials for eligibility.	Tender Clauses prevail.
42.				Additional Request: Kindly consider including ongoing projects and accept Performance Certificates issued for such projects as part of the evaluation criteria.	Refer to clause no. 1 of this corrigendum.
43.		Part 2, Section 1.6.5 – Pre-Qualification Criteria		Kindly clarify whether international consortium partners are allowed to participate. Will international project experience be considered valid for eligibility, or only Indian experience?	Tender clauses related to registration in India and work experience criteria prevails.
44.		Part 1, Section 1.1 – Background		Please confirm whether the project is expected to be a Meghalaya-centric, custom-built solution developed from scratch, or whether bidders may propose existing product customization / IT-SaaS	Please refer to ToR, Roles and Responsibilities given in the RFP and subsequent communications.

S.N.	Page No.	Section/ Clause No.	RFP Text	Query/Clarification	Response from DSYA
				platforms.	
45.		Part 2, Section 1.6 – Scope of Proposal		Who will be responsible for data security and hosting infrastructure? Will the Directorate provide hosting facilities (Gov Cloud / MeghCloud), or is the bidder expected to provision secure hosting? Please specify compliance standards required (e.g., ISO 27001, CERT-In, MeitY guidelines).	As per Central/ State government norms/ rules/ guidelines issued from time to time.
46.		Part 2, Section 1.6.5 – Pre-Qualification Criteria		If the solution is to be developed from scratch, should bidders demonstrate in-house IT development capabilities (team size, certifications, infrastructure)? Will subcontracting of specialized IT services be permitted?	Refer to RFP document.
47.				Kindly clarify whether there is a mandatory requirement to hire 50% of project staff from Meghalaya, can CVs of local hires be submitted only after project allotment, since recruitment will depend on award confirmation?	Refer to RFP document.
48.		Eligibility Criteria – Financial Turnover		As per the RFP, bidders are required to meet the specified turnover criteria. In order to encourage wider participation from technically capable firms, we kindly request the department to consider revising the minimum turnover requirement to ₹2 Crore. This adjustment would enable participation from emerging technology companies and specialized IT firms while still ensuring adequate financial capability of bidders.	Refer to clause nos. 2 and 3 of this corrigendum.
49.		Eligibility Criteria – MSME / Startup Relaxation		In line with the Government of India's initiatives under Startup India and MSME promotion policies, we request the department to kindly consider providing relaxation in turnover and	Refer to clause no. 1 of this corrigendum.

S.N.	Page No.	Section/ Clause No.	RFP Text	Query/Clarification	Response from DSYA
				work experience requirements for MSME and DPIIT-recognized startups. This will encourage innovative and technically strong companies to participate in the bidding process.	
50.		Experience Criteria		The current experience criteria appears to emphasize projects executed for government departments. We request the department to kindly consider including relevant projects executed for reputed private sector organizations as eligible experience, provided that the scope and scale of the work are similar. This would allow participation from firms with strong technical expertise and proven implementation capability across industries.	Tender clauses and subsequent communications prevail.
51.	Part – 2: Instructions to the Bidders	1.6.5. Qualification Criteria, Page 11, S.No.2	Pre- The supplier must be incorporated and registered in India, under the Indian Companies Act / Partnership Act /LLP Act or any other relevant law of India. In case of JV/ Consortium, all the member firms must be a registered company or firm in India registered under the relevant provisions/acts in	Kindly allow an Indian lead bidder to associate with a reputed international partner as a consortium member for technical expertise in large multi-sport events, with the Indian bidder retaining full responsibility.	At the discretion of the prospective bidder. Tender clauses related to registration of such firms in India prevails.
52.	Part – 2: Instructions to the Bidders	1.6.6. Core Team, Page 13	The Core Team shall consist of the following key personnel: 1. Technical Expert cum Team Lead 2. Junior IT Consultant 3. Data Analyst	Request a phase-wise onsite team plan. The technical build effort is concentrated in the early period; later months require event operations coordination and support roles. A fixed two-year specialist-heavy onsite mandate does not reflect this.	Refer to clause 1.6.6 pg no. 13 of the RFP wherein clear distinction of Year 1 and Year 2 has been provided. Tender clauses prevail.

S.N.	Page No.	Section/ Clause No.	RFP Text	Query/Clarification	Response from DSYA
			4. Support Consultant 5. Project Coordinator		
53.	Part – 2: Instructions to the Bidders	1.6.5. Qualification Criteria, Page 12, S.No.9	The Bidder must have successfully executed at least 01 (one) number of similar projects with a project value of at least Rs. 2,00,00,000/- (Rupees Two Crores only) Note: Similar Project means development, management and maintenance of website/portal with mobile application (android and ios) and repository.	Please broaden the “Similar Project” requirement to include successful delivery of technology solutions for multi-sport, multi-venue National/International events, including deployment and live operations support, backed by work order and completion/deployment certificate	Tender clauses prevail.
54.	Part – 3: Criteria for Evaluation	1.35.2. The scoring criteria to be used for evaluation shall be as follows, Page 31, SL A.1 Company Profile	The Bidder must have minimum average annual turnover of at least Rs. 5 crore in any three of the last five Financial Years (2020-21, 2021-22, 2022-23, 2023-24, 2024-25) a. Rs 5 crores to 6 crores (3 marks) b. More than Rs 6 crores to 7 crores (5 marks) c. More than Rs 7 crores (7 marks)	Two clear bands are sufficient: ₹5-₹6 Cr (5 marks) and above ₹6 Cr (7 marks).	Refer to clause nos. 2 and 3 of this tender.
55.	Part – 3: Criteria for Evaluation	1.35.2. The scoring criteria to be used for evaluation shall be as follows, Page 31, SL C Qualification of		Resource evaluation may focus on delivery-critical roles—Backend Development Lead/Engineer(s), Frontend/Web Engineer(s), Mobile App Developer, QA/Test Engineer, Project Manager, and Project Coordinators—as these determine build quality and rollout readiness.	Tender clauses prevail. Successful firm is expected to onboard/ provide competent resources at their discretion to deliver the required scope of work.
56.	Part 7:	1.48.6.	Payments would be made in equal quarterly instalments per	Milestone-based payments are more suitable than equal quarterly payments because a large	Tender clauses prevail.

S.N.	Page No.	Section/ Clause No.	RFP Text	Query/Clarification	Response from DSYA
	Terms of Reference	Payment Schedule, Page 51, 1.48.6.1	year over the period of contract	proportion of measurable outputs are achieved within the initial delivery window, followed by operational service and maintenance.	
57.	Part – 2: Instructions to the	Note, Page 12	Startup Exemption	Request you to provide startup exemption	Refer to clause no. of this corrigendum.
58.	Part 2 – Instructions to Bidders	Clause 1.6.5 (Point 10), Page 12	The Bidder must have successfully executed at least 01 (one) number of project in Development, management and maintenance of Games Management System (GMS) with a project value of at least ₹45,00,000 for any Central/State government/PSU sports body or National Sports Federation (NSF) or private sports bodies of repute.	SportsFirst has relevant experience in athlete data management and sports technology platforms with international sports stakeholders including: - Baltimore Ravens (NFL) - USA Youth & High School Rugby. As the scope of the RFP includes athlete repositories and sports data platforms, we kindly request clarification on whether such relevant experience in sports technology platforms and athlete data management systems may be considered towards fulfilling them requirement under Clause 1.6.5 (Point 10).	GMS and Repository are two different deliverables requiring varying subject matter expertise. Tender clauses prevail.
59.	Part – 2: Instructions to the Bidders	1.6.5. Pre-Qualification Criteria, Page 11, S.No.2	The supplier must be incorporated and registered in India, under the Indian Companies Act / Partnership Act /LLP Act or any other relevant law of India. In case of JV/ Consortium, all the member firms must be a registered company or firm in India registered under the relevant provisions/acts in India	Request permitting a consortium with an India-incorporated prime contractor and a qualified overseas technology partner, while keeping contractual accountability and compliance with the Indian prime.	At the discretion of the prospective bidder. Tender clauses related to registration of such firms in India prevails.
60.	Part – 2: Instructions to the Bidders	1.6.5. Pre-Qualification Criteria, Page 12, S.No.9	The Bidder must have successfully executed at least 01 (one) number of similar	Point 9 & 10 should be merged as they share the same purpose with its scope not confined. Request updating the experience requirement	Tender clauses prevail.

S.N.	Page No.	Section/ Clause No.	RFP Text	Query/Clarification	Response from DSYA
			projects with a project value of at least Rs. 2,00,00,000/- (Rupees Two Crores only) Note: Similar Project means development, management and maintenance of website/portal with mobile application (android and ios) and repository.	to accept equivalent credentials as a sports-event data operations / technology services partner for major events, supported by documentary evidence, since operational execution capability is key to the intended outcomes.	
61.	Part - 2: Instructions to the Bidders	1.6.5. Pre-Qualification Criteria, Page 12, S.No.10	The Bidder must have successfully executed at least 01 (one) number of project in Development, management and maintenance of Games Management System (GMS) with a project value of at least Rs. 45,00,000/- (Rupees forty-five lakhs only) for any Central/State government/ PSU sports body or National Sports Federation (NSF) or private sports bodies of repute Note: Private sports bodies of repute, for the purpose of this RFP, shall mean organizations recognized for effectively promoting, regulating, or organizing sports activities at national or international levels.		
62.	Part - 2: Instructions to the Bidders	1.6.6. Core Team, Page 13	The Core Team shall consist of the following key personnel: 1. Technical Expert cum Team Lead 2. Junior IT Consultant 3. Data Analyst 4. Support Consultant 5. Project Coordinator	Request replacing the current onsite staffing requirement with a timeline-aligned deployment plan. Early months require engineering delivery; later months require steady-state support and event readiness coordination. A uniform two-year onsite engineering posture is not operationally efficient.	Refer to clause 1.6.6 pg no. 13 of the RFP wherein clear distinction of Year 1 and Year 2 has been provided. Tender clauses prevail.
63.	Part - 3: Criteria for	1.35.2. The scoring criteria to	The Bidder must have minimum average annual turnover of at	The Bidder must have minimum average annual turnover of at least Rs. 3 crore in any three of	Refer to clause nos. 2 and 3 of this corrigendum.

S.N.	Page No.	Section/ Clause No.	RFP Text	Query/Clarification	Response from DSYA
	Evaluation	be used for evaluation shall be as follows, Page 31, SL A.1 Company Profile	least Rs. 5 crore in any three of the last five Financial Years (2020-21, 2021-22, 2022-23, 2023-24, 2024-25) a. Rs 5 crores to 6 crores (3 marks) b. More than Rs 6 crores to 7 crores (5 marks) c. More than Rs 7 crores (7 marks)	the last five Financial Years (2020-21, 2021-22, 2022-23, 2023-24, 2024-25) a. Rs 3 crores to 4 crores (3 marks) b. More than Rs 4 crores to 5 crores (5 marks) c. More than Rs 5 crores (7 marks)	
64.	Part - 3: Criteria for Evaluation	1.35.2. The scoring criteria to be used for evaluation shall be as follows, Page 31, SL C Qualification of Resources.		Recommend that qualification assessment covers the delivery spine—Solution/Backend Engineers, Web/UI Engineers, Mobile Developer, Quality Assurance Engineer, Project Manager, and Project Coordinators—since these profiles directly influence delivery quality, testing outcomes, and integration readiness.	Tender clauses prevail. Successful firm is expected to onboard/ provide competent resources at their discretion to deliver the required scope of work.
65.	Part 7: Terms of Reference (TOR)	1.48.6. Payment Schedule, Page 51, 1.48.6.1	Payments would be made in equal quarterly instalments per year over the period of contract	Milestone-linked payments are more appropriate as deliverables are front-loaded and acceptance-driven, while the remainder of the contract period is service/O&M. This will improve deliverable governance and reduce commercial ambiguity.	Tender clauses prevail.
66.			The Bidder must have successfully executed at least one (01) project involving the development, implementation, management, or maintenance of a Workflow based application system/platform for online delivery of services, with a project value of at least INR 2,00,00,000 (Rupees Two crore) for any Central/State Government department, PSU, Sports Authority, National Sports Federation, Sports	While the intent of the clause is well understood, the current requirement of a minimum project value of INR 2 crore and Games Management System (GMS) may limit participation from otherwise capable system integrators and technology providers who possess relevant experience in implementing similar workflow-based digital platforms for online service delivery. In order to encourage wider participation and ensure competitive bidding while still maintaining the requirement for relevant technical experience, we humbly request the Authority to consider modifying the	GMS requires subject matter expertise. Tender clauses prevail.

S.N.	Page No.	Section/ Clause No.	RFP Text	Query/Clarification	Response from DSYA
			Association, Educational Institution, or reputed private sports organization	<p>clause as follows: "The Bidder must have successfully executed at least one (01) project involving the development, implementation, management, or maintenance of a workflow-based application system/platform for online delivery of services for any Central/State Government department, PSU, Sports Authority, National Sports Federation, Sports Association, Educational Institution, or reputed private organization. We believe that this modification will help attract a larger pool of qualified bidders, thereby promoting healthy competition and enabling the Authority to receive technically strong and commercially competitive proposals. We would be grateful if this request could kindly be considered during the issuance of Corrigendum and response to queries stage. Also, we request for 2-3 weeks extension of bid submission deadline from the date of issuance of Corrigendum and response to queries.</p>	

4. Rest of the terms and conditions mentioned in the RFP remain the same.



**Director,
Directorate of Sports and Youth Affairs,
Government of Meghalaya.**